



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

1A
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| 09/829,760 | 04/10/2001 | David O. Chenault | RUN600.00/00043A | 9599 |
| 7590 | 02/08/2005 | | | EXAMINER PASCHALL, MARK H |
| Gable & Gotwals Attn: Paul H. Johnson 100 West Fifth Street 10th Floor Tulsa, OK 74103 | | | ART UNIT 3742 | PAPER NUMBER |
| DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/829,760 | CHENAULT, DAVID O. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mark H Paschall | 3742 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 February 2004.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-39 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 13-39 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

| | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-5, 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schow et al. Note that teaching m, evaluating and testing are set froth in Schow et al and it is obvious that these controls ensure the quality of a workman performing the task. Note that the industry rules would have to be followed since Schow mentions working on US Naval vessels. Welding is taught and it would be obvious to include thermoplastic pipe in ;the types of welding. Note that during the testing, parameters are measured. As per claim 16 notes the use of barcodes on conventional welding fittings. Recording the location of the training as set forth in the claims is obvious.

Claims 1-5 and 13-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the teachings at Hobart Institute of Welding Technology, as set forth in the enclosed article. I. As set forth in the applied reference to Hobart Institute of welding

technology, training for welding and evaluation of the student during the training has been effected at the school since 1930. Courses for; instructor training and evaluation are set forth on page 9 of the article. Hobart also does training and evaluation "on site" ate your plant and the same would comprise a remote evaluation as set froth in the claims. Applicant should note that Hobart Institute is very well known in the industry and the student there would be tested , trained and evaluated., with hands on testing. Industry standards for these covered tasks are taught rigorously there. It is obvious that the qualifications of a workman(student) would be ensured by such teachings at Hobart.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 13-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant's remarks advance that the rejection under Hobart is a strange and unusual basis fro rejecting a US patent Application. Applicant and the Attorney of record state that they , "have not heard of the Hobart Welding School". A simple search online uncovered numerous references to Hobart, one of them enclosed and applied as prior art. One need not be skilled in the welding art to have heard of Hobart, since it is one of the most recognized welding schools in existence.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H Paschall whose telephone number is 703 308-1642. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 703 308-2634. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mpaschall
Mark H Paschall
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3742

mp